







# Disordered Eating and Weight Changes After Deployment: Longitudinal Assessment of a Large US Military Cohort

I. G. Jacobson
T. C. Smith, B. Smith
P. K. Keel, P. J. Amoroso
T. S. Wells, G. P. Bathalon
E. J. Boyko, M. A. K. Ryan
for the Millennium Cohort Study Team



## Naval Health Research Center

Report No. 08-03

Approved for public release, distribution is unlimited.

Naval Health Research Center 140 Sylvester Road San Diego, California 92106



### **Original Contribution**

# Disordered Eating and Weight Changes After Deployment: Longitudinal Assessment of a Large US Military Cohort

Isabel G. Jacobson, Tyler C. Smith, Besa Smith, Pamela K. Keel, Paul J. Amoroso, Timothy S. Wells, Gaston P. Bathalon, Edward J. Boyko, and Margaret A. K. Ryan for the Millennium Cohort Study Team

Initially submitted May 20, 2008; accepted for publication October 8, 2008.

The effect of military deployments to combat environments on disordered eating and weight changes is unknown. Using longitudinal data from Millennium Cohort Study participants who completed baseline (2001–2003) and follow-up (2004–2006) questionnaires (n=48,378), the authors investigated new-onset disordered eating and weight changes in a large military cohort. Multivariable logistic regression was used to compare these outcomes among those who deployed and reported combat exposures, those who deployed but did not report combat exposures, and those who did not deploy in support of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan. Deployment was not significantly associated with new-onset disordered eating in women or men, after adjustment for baseline demographic, military, and behavioral characteristics. However, in subgroup comparison analyses of deployers, deployed women reporting combat exposures were 1.78 times more likely to report new-onset disordered eating (95% confidence interval: 1.02, 3.11) and 2.35 times more likely to lose 10% or more of their body weight compared with women who deployed but did not report combat exposures (95% confidence interval: 1.17, 4.70). Despite no significant overall association between deployment and disordered eating and weight changes, deployed women reporting combat exposures represent a subgroup at higher risk for developing eating problems and weight loss.

body weight changes; cohort studies; eating disorders; military medicine; military personnel

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval.

The prevalence of eating disorders such as bulimia nervosa among women is 1%–3%, with rates in men believed to be one-tenth of those reported among women (1, 2). Studies conducted among military populations have found rates of bulimia nervosa of 8% for women and 7% for men, rates that exceed population estimates (3, 4). The elevated prevalence of eating disorders in military personnel is of concern because of significant associated comorbidities, including substance abuse (2, 5–8), mental health disorders (2, 5, 9), other physical complications (10, 11), and the potential for attempted suicide (12). Changes in eating resulting in weight gain or loss also have been associated with stress (13–15) and have been linked to physical and mental health problems (16, 17). Given the deleterious health consequences of eating disorders and weight changes to military per-

sonnel, it is important to identify factors that may explain increased risk for developing these problems.

Military personnel who deploy to combat regions are commonly exposed to trauma, such as witnessing serious injury or death (18–20). Research regarding past and current conflicts in the Persian Gulf has found that deployment-related stress produces anxiety, depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, and substance abuse among certain individuals (21–25). Therefore, we hypothesized that deployment in support of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan would predict new-onset disordered eating and extreme weight change. Previous research has identified vulnerable subpopulations whose disordered eating may have been triggered by stressful events (9, 26–28). However, the majority of these studies used retrospective designs, which are vulnerable to recall

Correspondence to Isabel Jacobson, Department of Defense Center for Deployment Health Research, Naval Health Research Center, 140 Sylvester Road, San Diego, CA 92106 (e-mail: isabel.jacobson@med.navy.mil).

**Table 1.** Baseline Characteristics of Women and Men by New-Onset Disordered Eating Status (N = 46,219), the Millennium Cohort Study, 2001–2006

			Women		Men					
Characteristic	Total (n = 12,641)	Diso Ea	Onset rdered iting 415)	No Disc Eati (n = 1	ng	Total (n = 33,578)	Diso Ea	Onset rdered ting : 886)	Eati	ng
		No.	% <sup>a</sup>	No.	% <sup>a</sup>	-	No.	%ª	No Disor Eatir (n = 32  No.  24,164 4,252 4,276  31,201 1,491 8,839* 13,818* 9,140* 895*  24,116* 3,086* 5,490*  13,919* 8,734* 6,275* 3,764*  23,932* 7,091* 1,669*  15,089* 9,992* 5,946* 1,665*  18,423* 14,269* 9,147* 23,545*	%ª
Deployment status										
Nondeployed	10,686	349	84.1	10,337	84.5	24,830	666	75.2	24,164	73.9
Deployed without combat exposures	1,085	29	7.0	1,056	8.6	4,351	99	11.2	4,252	13.0
Deployed with combat exposures	870	37	8.9	833	6.8	4,397	121	13.7	4,276	13.1
Experienced ≥1 deployments of >9 months										
No	12,261	399	96.1	11,862	97.0	32,037	836	94.4	31,201	95.4
Yes	380	16	3.9	364	3.0	1,541	50	5.6	1,491	4.6
Birth year										
Before 1960	2,813	92	22.2	2,721	22.3	9,037	198*	22.3*	8,839*	27.0
1960–1969	4,586	129	31.1	4,457	36.5	14,182	364*	41.1*	13,818*	42.3
1970–1979	4,323	158	38.1	4,165	34.1	9,434	294*	33.2*	9,140*	28.0
1980 or later	919	36	8.7	883	7.2	925	30*	3.4*	895*	2.7
Race/ethnicity										
White, non-Hispanic	8,041	284	68.4	7,757	63.4	24,784	668*	75.4*	24,116*	73.8
Black, non-Hispanic	2,529	70	16.9	2,459	20.1	3,147	61*	6.9*	3,086*	9.4
Other	2,071	61	14.7	2,010	16.4	5,647	157*	17.7*	5,490*	16.8
Education <sup>b</sup>										
High school or less	5,465	182	43.9	5,283	43.2	14,372	453*	51.1*	13,919*	42.6
Some college	3,284	111	26.7	3,173	26.0	8,933	199*	22.5*	8,734*	26.7
College degree	2,427	75	18.1	2,352	19.2	6,433	158*	17.8*	6,275*	19.2
Graduate school	1,465	47	11.3	1,418	11.6	3,840	76*	8.6*	3,764*	11.5
Marital status <sup>b</sup>										
Married	6,329	194	46.7	6,135	50.2	24,548	616*	69.5*	23,932*	73.2
Never married	4,668	152	36.6	4,516	36.9	7,317	226*	25.5*	7,091*	21.7
Divorced, widowed, separated	1,644	69	16.6	1,575	12.9	1,713	44*	5.0*	1,669*	5.1
Service branch <sup>b</sup>										
Army	6,347	211	50.8	6,136	50.2	15,536	447*	50.5*	15,089*	46.2
Air Force	3,772	120	28.9	3,652	29.9	10,198	206*	23.3*	9,992*	30.6
Navy/Coast Guard	2,257	71	17.1	2,186	17.9	6,128	182*	20.5*	5,946*	18.2
Marine Corps	265	13	3.1	252	2.1	1,716	51*	5.8*	1,665*	5.1
Service component <sup>b</sup>										
Active duty	6,315	224	54.0	6,091	49.8	18,971	548*	61.9*	18,423*	56.4
Reserve/National Guard	6,326	191	46.0	6,135	50.2	14,607	338*	38.1*		43.6
Military pay grade <sup>b</sup>						*				
Officer	3,445	103	24.8	3,342	27.3	9,353	206*	23.3*	9,147*	28.0
Enlisted	9,196	312	75.2	8,884	72.7	24,225	680*	76.7*		72.0

bias. We investigated disordered eating levels before and after deployment to determine the prospective association between stressful life events and the development of eating disorders. Data for these analyses were from the Millennium Cohort Study, designed to evaluate the long-term effects of military service on health over a period of 21 years (29).

Table 1. Continued

		V	Vomen				Men			
Characteristic	Total (n = 12,641)	Total Eating		No Diso Eati (n = 12	ng	Total (n = 33,578)	New-Onset Disordered Eating (n = 886)		No Disordered Eating (n = 32,692)	
		No.	% <sup>a</sup>	No.	%ª	-	No.	%ª	Reating (n = 32)  No.  8,217 3,381 2,312 2,353 868 5,151 5,363 1,167 2,715 1,165  18,468 2,799 9,361 2,064  29,271* 2,923* 498*  30,779* 1,913*  19,181 8,202 5,309  26,291* 6,401* 29,500*	%ª
Occupational codes <sup>b</sup>										
Combat specialists	794	26	6.3	768	6.3	8,440	223	25.2	8,217	25.1
Electronic equipment repair	734	31	7.5	703	5.8	3,472	91	10.3	3,381	10.3
Communications/intelligence	854	29	7.0	825	6.7	2,376	64	7.2	2,312	7.1
Health care	3,003	95	22.9	2,908	23.8	2,422	69	7.8	2,353	7.2
Other technical and allied specialists	265	10	2.4	255	2.1	896	28	3.2	868	2.7
Functional support and administration	4,417	125	30.1	4,292	35.1	5,273	122	13.8	5,151	15.8
Electrical/mechanical equipment repair	620	21	5.1	599	4.9	5,507	144	16.3	5,363	16.4
Craft workers	189	5	1.2	184	1.5	1,205	38	4.3	1,167	3.6
Service and supply	1,098	43	10.4	1,055	8.6	2,789	74	8.4	2,715	8.3
Students, trainees, and other	667	30	7.2	637	5.2	1,198	33	3.7	1,165	3.6
Deployment prior to baseline <sup>c</sup>										
None	10,257	350	84.3	9,907	81.0	18,939	471	53.2	18,468	56.5
1991 Gulf War only	806	25	6.0	781	6.4	2,891	92	10.4	2,799	8.6
Bosnia/Kosovo/southwest Asia only	1,472	38	9.2	1,434	11.7	9,636	275	31.0	9,361	28.6
Both	106	2	0.5	104	0.9	2,112	48	5.4	2,064	6.3
History of life stressors <sup>b,d</sup>										
Low/mild	9,175	272*	65.5*	8,903*	72.8*	30,029	758*	85.6*	29,271*	89.5*
Moderate	2,760	109*	26.3*	2,651*	21.7*	3,021	98*	11.1*	2,923*	8.9*
Major	706	34*	8.2*	672*	5.5*	528	30*	3.4*	498*	1.5*
History of diagnosed mental disorder <sup>b</sup>										
No	10,747	307*	74.0*	10,440*	85.4*	31,562	783*	88.4*	30,779*	94.1*
Yes	1,894	108*	26.0*	1,786*	14.6*	2,016	103*	11.6*	1,913*	5.9*
Smoking status <sup>b</sup>										
Nonsmoker	7,977	244	58.8	7,733	63.3	19,671	490	55.3	19,181	58.7
Ever/past smoker	2,790	109	26.3	2,681	21.9	8,448	246	27.8	8,202	25.1
Current smoker	1,874	62	14.9	1,812	14.8	5,459	150	16.9	5,309	16.2
History of alcohol misuse <sup>b,e</sup>										
No	11,084	342*	82.4*	10,742*	87.9*	26,925	634*	71.6*	26,291*	80.4*
Yes	1,557	73*	17.6*	1,484*	12.1*	6,653	252*	28.4*	6,401*	19.6*
Special diet for weight loss <sup>b,f</sup>										
No	9,937	257*	61.9*	9,680*	79.2*	30,189	689*	77.8*	29 500*	90.2*
Yes	2,704	158*	38.1*	2,546*	20.8*	3,389	197*	22.2*	3,192*	9.8*

 $<sup>^*</sup>$  P < 0.05 (significantly associated with new-onset disordered eating by using chi-squared tests).  $^{\rm a}$  Percentages may not sum to 100 because of rounding.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Characteristic reported at baseline assessment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Deployment prior to baseline refers to deployment to conflicts before the current deployments in support of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan. Deployment to Bosnia, Kosovo, or southwest Asia includes any deployment to these contingencies between 1998 and 2000.

d The Social Readjustment Rating Scale (32).

e Alcohol misuse is defined as at least 1 positive response to the CAGE questions (i.e., Cutting down, Annoyance by criticism, Guilty feeling, and Eye-openers) (33, 34).

f Positive response to whether the subject used "special diet programs for weight loss."

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Population and data sources

The population-based sample for the Millennium Cohort was randomly selected from all US military personnel on rosters as of October 2000. By use of a modified Dillman approach that involves minimizing costs and maximizing rewards for responding and that employs a series of reminders to elicit response commencing with a postcard (30), 77,047 of the 214,388 (36%) contacted personnel consented and enrolled in the first panel of the Millennium Cohort Study. This study protocol was approved by the institutional review board of the Naval Health Research Center, and the research was conducted in compliance with all applicable federal regulations governing the protection of human subjects in research (protocol NHRC.2000.007).

Of the 77,047 participants who completed a baseline survey between July 2001 and June 2003, 55,021 (71%) completed a follow-up survey from July 2004 to February 2006 and were included in this study. Of these individuals, 5,342 (9.7%) were excluded who deployed in support of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan prior to the baseline assessment or who took their survey while deployed, since reporting during deployment would likely differ from reporting following deployment. Additionally, 724 (1.3%) individuals were excluded who did not answer any disordered-eating questions, and 577 (1.0%) were missing demographic or covariate data, leaving a population of 48,378 (87.9%). Of this population, 2,159 (4.5%) individuals met the criteria for disordered eating at baseline, so new-onset disordered eating analyses were performed using 46,219 participants. For the weightchange analyses, an additional 719 people with discrepant heights, 2,039 pregnant women, and 3,446 individuals who did not report either height or weight were excluded from the original analysis population, leaving 42,174 (76.7%).

Demographic and military data were obtained from the electronic personnel files of the Defense Manpower Data Center and included sex, birth date, race/ethnicity, education, marital status, branch of service, service component, military pay grade, military occupation, deployment experience to southwest Asia, Bosnia, or Kosovo between 1998 and 2000, deployment experience in support of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan from 2001 to 2006, and length of the latter deployment.

Deployed individuals were defined as having completed at least 1 deployment in support of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan between baseline and follow-up. Exposures reported at follow-up were used to assess combat experiences and were based on affirmative responses to questions that asked whether participants had personally witnessed death due to war, disaster, or tragic event; witnessed instances of physical abuse; and seen dead or decomposing bodies, maimed soldiers or civilians, or prisoners of war or refugees. Individuals reporting these experiences were designated as deployed with combat exposures, while those who did not were classified as deployed without combat exposures.

Baseline characteristics were included in these analyses to investigate whether certain subpopulations were more vulnerable to developing disordered eating or weight changes postdeployment. History of life stress, which included such items as divorce or having a family member die, was assessed by applying scoring mechanisms from the Holmes and Rahe Social Readjustment Rating Scale (31, 32) and then categorized as low/mild, moderate, or severe. History of a diagnosed mental disorder was determined by the reporting of a physician's diagnosis of depression, schizophrenia or psychosis, manicdepressive disorder, or post-traumatic stress disorder or by the reporting of medication use for anxiety, depression, or stress. Baseline survey questions identified nonsmokers, past smokers, or current smokers. History of alcohol misuse was evaluated by using the CAGE questionnaire (Cutting down, Annoyance by criticism, Guilty feeling, and Eye-openers) (33, 34), which has a test-retest reliability of 0.80-0.95 and an average sensitivity and specificity of 0.71 and 0.90, respectively (33). Finally, self-report at baseline of being on a special diet to lose weight was also examined.

#### **Outcomes**

Disordered eating was determined by using 8 survey questions from the Patient Health Questionnaire (35), and a survey-based diagnosis was made by use of criteria from the American Psychiatric Association's Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (1). Although the survey was able to identify individuals with bulimia nervosa, subclinical bulimia nervosa, bingeeating disorder, and subclinical binge-eating disorder, they are reported in the aggregate as "disordered eating." Bulimia nervosa was defined as endorsement of binge eating by indicating a loss of control over eating and consuming unusually large amounts of food as often as twice a week for the last 3 months, endorsement of at least 1 compensatory behavior such as vomiting or fasting as often as twice a week, answering "bothered a little" or "bothered a lot" by their weight or how they look, and being at least normal weight (body mass index, ≥18.5). Subclinical bulimia nervosa was defined the same as bulimia nervosa but with binge episodes and compensatory behaviors at subthreshold frequencies. Binge-eating disorder was defined as endorsement of binge eating as often as twice a week for the last 3 months with the absence of inappropriate compensatory behaviors. Subclinical binge-eating disorder was defined the same as binge-eating disorder but with binge episodes at subthreshold frequencies. New-onset disordered eating was defined as individuals who did not meet the criteria for disordered eating at baseline but met the criteria at

Weight change from baseline to follow-up was determined by using self-reported height and weight from the questionnaire and creating a multilevel variable based on percent change in weight: extreme weight loss ( $\geq 10\%$  loss), moderate weight loss (>3% but <10%), stable weight (remained within 3%), moderate weight gain (>3% but <10%), and extreme weight gain ( $\geq 10\%$  gain). Cutpoints were determined by examining percent change in weight in the population, with a 10% change approximating 1 standard deviation from the mean. Women giving birth between baseline and follow-up surveys and participants whose height

**Table 2.** Odds of New-Onset Disordered Eating Adjusted for the Baseline Demographic, Behavioral, and Occupational Characteristics of Participants (N=46,219), the Millennium Cohort Study, 2001–2006

Women Men (n = 12,641)(n = 33,578)Characteristic 95% 95% Odds Odds Confidence Confidence Ratio Interval Interval Deployment status déployers only Deployed without 1.00 1.00 combat exposures 1.78<sup>b</sup> Deployed with 1.02, 3.11 1.13 0.83, 1.54 combat exposures Deployment statusentire study population Nondeployed 1.00 1.00 Deployed without 0.83 0.56, 1.23 0.91 0.73, 1.13 combat exposures 1.29 Deployed with 0.91, 1.85 0.94 0.77, 1.15 combat exposures Birth year Before 1960 1.00 1.00 1960-1969 0.91 0.69, 1.21 1.09 0.91, 1.32 1970-1979 0.92, 1.73 1.26 1.19 0.96, 1.49 0.96, 2.47 1980 or later 1.54 1.16 0.74, 1.80 Race/ethnicity White, non-Hispanic 1.00 1.00 0.67<sup>b</sup> Black, non-Hispanic 0.82 0.62, 1.08 0.52, 0.88 Other 0.60, 1.09 0.98 0.81, 1.19 0.81 Education<sup>c</sup> High school or less 1.00 1.00 Some college 0.84, 1.48 0.85 1.11 0.69, 1.04 College degree 1.08 0.80, 1.46 0.87 0.72, 1.06 0.82, 1.75 0.73<sup>b</sup> Graduate school 1.20 0.56, 0.96 Marital status<sup>c</sup> 1.00 1.00 Married Never married 1.00 0.78, 1.27 1.10 0.92, 1.33 Divorced, widowed. 1.34 1.00, 1.79 1.00 0.73, 1.37 separated

was more than 2 inches (5.08 cm) discrepant from baseline to follow-up were removed from these analyses.

#### Statistical analyses

Univariate analyses were completed to investigate unadjusted associations of disordered eating and weight changes with demographic, military, and behavioral risk factors. A model analysis was completed by using a variance inflation factor of 4 or greater to indicate the presence of multicollinearity among the independent variables. Multivariable logistic regression was used to compare the ad-

Table 2. Continued

		Vomen = 12,641)	(n =	Men = 33,578)
Characteristic	Odds Ratio <sup>a</sup>	95% Confidence Interval	Odds Ratio <sup>a</sup>	95% Confidence Interval
Service branch <sup>c</sup>				
Army	1.00		1.00	
Air Force	0.87	0.66, 1.14	0.79 <sup>b</sup>	0.64, 0.96
Navy/Coast Guard	0.93	0.73, 1.24	0.95	0.79, 1.15
Marine Corps	1.31	0.70, 2.37	0.91	0.67, 1.22
Service component <sup>c</sup>				
Reserve/National Guard	1.00		1.00	
Active duty	1.19	0.95, 1.48	1.28 <sup>b</sup>	1.10, 1.49
History of life stressors <sup>c,d</sup>				
Low/mild	1.00		1.00	
Moderate	1.12	0.89, 1.43	1.15	0.92, 1.44
Major	1.24	0.84, 1.82	1.75 <sup>b</sup>	1.18, 2.57
History of diagnosed mental disorder <sup>c</sup>				
No	1.00		1.00	
Yes	1.83 <sup>b</sup>	1.45, 2.32	1.88 <sup>b</sup>	1.51, 2.34
History of alcohol misuse <sup>c,e</sup>				
No	1.00		1.00	
Yes	1.29	0.99, 1.68	1.44 <sup>b</sup>	1.24, 1.67
Special diet for weight loss <sup>c,f</sup>				
No	1.00		1.00	
Yes	2.26 <sup>b</sup>	1.84, 2.78	2.54 <sup>b</sup>	2.15, 2.99

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Odds ratios and associated 95% confidence intervals are adjusted for all the other variables in the table.

justed odds of association between deployment and newonset disordered eating. Additional multivariable models estimated the adjusted odds of new-onset bulimia nervosa, subclinical bulimia nervosa, binge-eating disorder, and subclinical binge-eating disorder. Polychotomous logistic regression was used to compare the adjusted odds of association between deployment and weight change, with stable weight as the outcome reference group. For both disordered eating and weight change investigations, it was decided a priori to let nondeployed personnel be the reference group. Additional models were executed post hoc by using only deployed individuals to compare adjusted odds among those

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Adjusted odds of new-onset disordered eating are significant as shown by associated confidence intervals using logistic regression.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> The model was adjusted for this characteristic at baseline.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> The Social Readjustment Rating Scale (32).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> Alcohol misuse is defined as at least 1 positive response to the CAGE questions (i.e., Cutting down, Annoyance by criticism, Guilty feeling, and Eye-openers) (33, 34).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> Positive response to whether the subject used "special diet programs for weight loss."

**Table 3.** Adjusted Odds of Weight Change<sup>a</sup> Among Millennium Cohort Study Women From Baseline (July 2001–June 2003) to Follow-up (July 2004–January 2006) (N = 10,186)

	We	extreme ight Loss 1 = 272)	We	oderate ight Loss = 1,260)	We	oderate ight Gain = 3,373)	We	extreme eight Gain = 1,902)
Characteristic	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval
Deployment status— deployers only								
Deployed without combat exposures	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Deployed with combat exposures	2.35 <sup>b</sup>	1.17, 4.70	1.28	0.91, 1.80	1.05	0.81, 1.37	1.11	0.80, 1.53
Deployment status—entire study population								
Nondeployed	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Deployed without combat exposures	0.75	0.44, 1.30	1.05	0.82, 1.34	1.00	0.84, 1.20	0.89	0.71, 1.11
Deployed with combat exposures	1.59	0.99, 2.53	1.33 <sup>b</sup>	1.03, 1.73	1.06	0.87, 1.30	1.00	0.78, 1.29
Experienced ≥1 deployments of ≥9 months								
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	0.73	0.33, 1.60	0.91	0.61, 1.35	1.23	0.92, 1.66	0.76	0.52, 1.13
Birth year								
Before 1960	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
1960–1969	0.84	0.60, 1.18	1.11	0.94, 1.32	1.09	0.96, 1.23	1.14	0.97, 1.33
1970–1979	1.23	0.84, 1.81	1.21	0.98, 1.49	1.03	0.89, 1.20	1.26 <sup>b</sup>	1.05, 1.51
1980 or later	1.97 <sup>b</sup>	1.07, 3.61	1.44 <sup>b</sup>	1.03, 2.03	1.07	0.82, 1.39	1.82 <sup>b</sup>	1.37, 2.43
Race/ethnicity								
White, non-Hispanic	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Black, non-Hispanic	1.04	0.74, 1.46	1.04	0.87, 1.25	1.01	0.88, 1.15	0.96	0.82, 1.13
Other	0.90	0.61, 1.32	1.03	0.86, 1.25	0.97	0.84, 1.11	0.91	0.76, 1.08
Education <sup>c</sup>								
High school or less	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Some college	0.80	0.57, 1.15	0.90	0.74, 1.09	0.99	0.86, 1.13	0.83 <sup>b</sup>	0.71, 0.98
College degree	0.70	0.45, 1.10	1.05	0.84, 1.31	0.85	0.72, 1.01	0.84	0.69, 1.03
Graduate school	0.66	0.36, 1.22	0.83	0.62, 1.12	0.76 <sup>b</sup>	0.61, 0.94	0.79	0.59, 1.05
Marital status <sup>c</sup>								
Married	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Never married	0.62 <sup>b</sup>	0.45, 0.85	0.95	0.82, 1.11	0.98	0.87, 1.10	1.11	0.97, 1.28
Divorced, widowed, separated	0.87	0.59, 1.26	0.91	0.74, 1.12	1.15	0.99, 1.33	1.15	0.97, 1.38

deployed with reported combat exposures with those deployed without reported combat exposures.

The saturated models for disordered eating and weight change contained all of the variables shown in Table 1. For the disordered-eating models, several first-order multiplicative interactions between combat deployment status and the following variables were investigated on the basis of a priori hypotheses: sex, age, service branch, history of

life stress, history of diagnosed mental disorder, history of alcohol misuse, and self-report of being on a special diet for weight loss. For the weight-change models, the interaction between deployment status and age was tested. Interaction terms were considered significant at  $P \leq 0.10$ . Because disordered eating and weight changes occur differently in men and women, the study population was stratified by sex. All other interactions examined did not yield significant

Table 3. Continued

	We	extreme ight Loss n = 272)	We	oderate ight Loss = 1,260)	We	oderate ight Gain = 3,373)	We	extreme eight Gain = 1,902)
Characteristic	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval
Service branch <sup>c</sup>								
Army	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Air Force	0.81	0.57, 1.14	0.90	0.76, 1.07	1.08	0.95, 1.23	1.13	0.97, 1.32
Navy/Coast Guard	1.11	0.79, 1.56	1.00	0.83, 1.20	1.07	0.93, 1.23	1.17	1.00, 1.38
Marine Corps <sup>d</sup>			0.68	0.41, 1.13	0.91	0.65, 1.29	0.95	0.64, 1.41
Service component <sup>c</sup>								
Reserve/National Guard	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Active duty	0.81	0.62, 1.07	0.88	0.77, 1.02	1.01	0.91, 1.12	1.11	0.98, 1.26
Military pay grade <sup>c</sup>								
Officer	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Enlisted	1.23	0.80, 1.90	1.04	0.84, 1.29	1.15	0.98, 1.35	2.09 <sup>b</sup>	1.70, 2.58
History of life stressors <sup>c,e</sup>								
Low/mild	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Moderate	1.32	0.99, 1.78	1.06	0.90, 1.25	1.14 <sup>b</sup>	1.01, 1.29	1.31 <sup>b</sup>	1.13, 1.51
Major	1.37	0.85, 2.20	0.96	0.72, 1.29	1.16	0.94, 1.44	1.54 <sup>b</sup>	1.22, 1.95
History of diagnosed mental disorder <sup>c</sup>								
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	1.21	0.87, 1.68	1.25 <sup>b</sup>	1.04, 1.50	1.08	0.94, 1.24	1.56 <sup>b</sup>	1.35, 1.83
Smoking status <sup>c</sup>								
Nonsmoker	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Ever/past smoker	1.43 <sup>b</sup>	1.05, 1.94	1.39 <sup>b</sup>	1.18, 1.64	1.08	0.96, 1.23	1.17 <sup>b</sup>	1.01, 1.35
Current smoker	1.58 <sup>b</sup>	1.12, 2.22	1.27 <sup>b</sup>	1.05, 1.54	0.96	0.83, 1.12	1.10	0.93, 1.30
History of alcohol misuse <sup>c,f</sup>								
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	1.32	0.95, 1.84	1.09	0.90, 1.32	0.98	0.85, 1.13	0.82 <sup>b</sup>	0.69, 0.97
Special diet for weight loss <sup>c,g</sup>								
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	2.05 <sup>b</sup>	1.56, 2.68	1.18	1.00, 1.38	1.32 <sup>b</sup>	1.17, 1.48	1.99 <sup>b</sup>	1.74, 2.27

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Weight change was determined by using percent change calculated as the self-reported weight in pounds at follow-up minus the self-reported weight in pounds at baseline assessment, divided by baseline weight. Weight-change categories were defined as follows: extreme weight loss ( $\geq$ 10% loss), moderate weight loss (>3% but <10% loss), stable weight (remained within 3%), moderate weight gain (>3% but <10% gain), and extreme weight gain (>10% gain). Stable weight (n=3,379) was the reference category for the polychotomous logistic regression model.

P values, indicating no need for further stratification of the population. Confounders were variables that changed the measure of association more than 10% when removed from the

model (36). Variables that were not confounders and not significant in the model using P < 0.05 were removed by a manual, backward, stepwise elimination method to create final models.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Adjusted odds of new-onset disordered eating are significant as shown by associated confidence intervals using polychotomous logistic regression.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> The model was adjusted for this characteristic at baseline.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Small cell sizes prohibited the calculation of an odds ratio and corresponding confidence interval among Marine Corps women in the extreme weight loss group.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> The Social Readjustment Rating Scale (32).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>f</sup> Alcohol misuse is defined as at least 1 positive response to the CAGE questions (i.e., Cutting down, Annoyance by criticism, Guilty feeling, and Eye-openers) (33, 34).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Positive response to whether the subject used "special diet programs for weight loss."

Additional analyses were conducted among deployed persons only to isolate the effect of combat exposure on the odds of disordered eating and weight change. Data management and statistical analyses were performed by using SAS, version 9.1.3, statistical software (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, North Carolina).

#### **RESULTS**

The cumulative incidence of self-reported disordered eating over 2.7 years, the average time between baseline and follow-up, was 3.3% for women and 2.6% for men. Among women, disordered eating was identified in 5.5% at baseline and 5.2% at follow-up, with 63% of the follow-up cases identified as newly reported. Among men, disordered eating was identified in 4.0% at baseline and 3.9% at follow-up, with 67% of the cases identified as newly reported. The subgroups with a higher proportion of women and men with new-onset disordered eating were those deployed with combat exposures, born in 1980 or later, of white, non-Hispanic race, in the Marine Corps, on active duty, and enlisted personnel or those who reported a history of a past major life stress, a diagnosed mental disorder, misuse of alcohol, or on a special diet for weight loss (Table 1).

Multivariable logistic regression revealed that deployment was not significantly related to new-onset disordered eating in women or men, after adjustment (Table 2). Military pay grade, occupation, deployment to other conflicts prior to baseline, deployment experience longer than 9 months, and smoking status were removed from both models because they were not significant, nor were they confounders. Covariates significantly associated with new-onset disordered eating among women and men were history of a diagnosed mental disorder and self-report of being on a special diet for weight loss. When examining the adjusted multivariable models for the individual eating disorders, we found no significant associations between deployment and new-onset bulimia nervosa, subclinical bulimia nervosa, binge-eating disorder, or subclinical binge-eating disorder (data not shown).

Analyses focusing on the deployed population revealed that women deployed with combat exposures were 1.78 times more likely to develop new-onset disordered eating (95% confidence interval (CI): 1.02, 3.11) (Table 2) and 2.35 times more likely to lose an extreme amount of weight (95% CI: 1.17, 4.70) (Table 3) compared with women deployed without combat exposures. These models were adjusted for the same covariates when modeling the entire population, and these associations were not found among men.

The average weight gain in men and women between baseline and follow-up was 4.7 pounds (2.1 kg) and 5.9 pounds (2.7 kg), respectively, which represented a 2.6% increase among men and a 4.1% increase among women (data not shown). Approximately 33% of women and 48% of men reported stable weight between baseline and follow-up, regardless of deployment status (Table 4). Among women deployed with combat exposures, a greater proportion lost an extreme or moderate amount of weight compared with women deployed without combat exposures and with nondeployed women. Among men deployed with combat exposures, a greater proportion gained a moderate or extreme amount of weight compared with men deployed without combat exposures and with nondeployed men.

The adjusted odds of weight change from baseline to follow-up were explored by using polychotomous logistic regression models for women and men. Occupation and previous deployment experience were removed from the models because they were not statistically significant, nor were they confounders. After adjustment, women deployed with combat exposures were 1.33 times more likely to lose a moderate amount of weight between baseline and follow-up, compared with nondeployed women (95% CI: 1.03, 1.73) (Table 3). Women deployed with combat exposures were 2.35 times more likely to lose an extreme amount compared with women who deployed without combat exposures (95% CI: 1.17, 4.70) (Table 3).

After adjustment, men deployed without combat exposures were significantly less likely to lose a moderate amount of weight (odds ratio = 0.88, 95% CI: 0.78, 0.99) or to gain an extreme amount of weight (odds ratio = 0.82, 95% CI: 0.72, 0.93) compared with nondeployed men (Table 5).

#### DISCUSSION

Prospective data on the associations between documented stressful and traumatic life events and the development of disordered eating are lacking. This is the first study to prospectively document the impact of deployment on disordered eating or weight change in a large population-based military cohort. No statistically significant overall effect of deployment on increased risk of disordered eating in men and women in comparison with nondeployed personnel was found. However, deployment with combat exposures was associated with a significantly increased risk of new-onset disordered eating and extreme weight loss compared with deployment without combat exposures in women.

These findings suggest that disordered eating and extreme weight change that arise following deployment may be due to the trauma experienced during combat rather than due to deployment itself. The adverse effects of combat trauma have been documented in other investigations of posttraumatic stress disorder (25) and alcohol use (37) before and after deployment. The military selectively deploys its most healthy and fit force, so individuals that do not deploy may be less healthy than those that deploy. Our results support this, since the lowest rates of disordered eating in both men and women were among those who deployed and did not experience combat, and the highest rates were among those who deployed and reported combat exposures. We also found similar cumulative incidence rates of disordered eating over the time span between baseline and follow-up for men and women. Although the rates in women are typically much higher than those in the men in the general population (1), other studies conducted on active duty military populations have reported similar rates between men and women (3, 4). Expected compliance with military weight standards may be an explanation for the high rates in this male population due to concerns over job loss or career advancement.

	Weight Stable Weight		Mode Weigh		Extre Weight					
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Women (n = 10,186)										
Nondeployed	228	2.7	1,035	12.1	2,851	33.4	2,808	32.9	1,620	19.0
Deployed without combat exposures	16	1.9	108	12.5	288	33.3	301	34.8	152	17.6
Deployed with combat exposures	28	3.6	117	15.0	240	30.8	264	33.9	130	16.7
Men (n = 31 988)										
Nondeployed	373	1.6	2,590	10.9	11,247	47.4	7,242	30.5	2,269	9.6
Deployed without combat exposures	55	1.4	415	10.2	1,993	49.1	1,248	30.8	347	8.6
Deployed with combat exposures	54	1.3	447	10.6	1,926	45.8	1,348	32.0	434	10.3

**Table 4.** Proportion of Women and Men in Each Weight Change Category<sup>a</sup> by Deployment Status (N = 42,174), the Millennium Cohort Study, 2001-2006

Although it has been documented that stress increases food consumption and encourages unhealthy food choices (15), this study did not find a robust association between deployment and weight gain. It has been suggested that, contrary to the early days of the war when food was limited to ready-to-eat packaged meals, individuals recently deployed have access to large quantities and varieties of food, making weight gain more probable (38). Alternatively, deployed personnel may be more active than their nondeployed counterparts, because deployed personnel are not maintaining families and household activities; they may have more time to devote to fitness activities. Despite the average weight gain observed over an average of 2.7 years, an association was found between deployment with combat exposures and moderate weight loss among women. No notable association between deployment status and weight change was found among men, suggesting that the trauma of deployment with combat exposure may have a greater impact on weight change in women.

We also examined weight change by whether participants had separated from military service. Although the proportion of individuals in most weight-change categories was relatively equal regardless of separation status, we did find that a larger proportion of separated individuals gained an extreme amount of weight. Future follow-up studies of this Millennium Cohort will yield insight into whether separation from military service plays a role in weight change.

We found that men and women who reported being on a diet for weight loss were significantly more likely to experience disordered eating and weight changes. This may be related to the fact that the military maintains weight standards for service members (39, 40), and studies have shown that pressure to meet these standards places personnel at risk for disordered eating and body-image concerns (41, 42).

There are limitations to this study that should be noted. Designed oversampling of women, those previously deployed, and Reserve/National Guard personnel may limit

how representative the Millennium Cohort is of the military or those who deploy. However, investigations of possible biases in the Millennium Cohort baseline sample suggest a representative sample of US military personnel, measured by demographic and health characteristics, and reliable health and exposure reporting (29, 43-50). Personnel that did not respond to the follow-up survey were more likely to be younger, black non-Hispanic or unknown race/ethnicity, Marines, and current smokers and to report post-traumatic stress disorder and depression symptoms or diagnosis at baseline (37), potentially providing a less representative sample at follow-up. In addition, it was not feasible to adjust for deployment location in this study because of the large number of individuals with multiple deployments to various locations, although individuals deployed to different regions may have experienced different frequencies of disordered eating or weight changes.

Another important study limitation was that the sensitive definition of combat exposures was not specific to deployment and did not include items such as receiving small arms fire or being responsible for the death of an enemy combatant. Nonetheless, reporting of experiences, such as witnessing trauma or death due to war, has been shown to be associated with adverse mental health outcomes in previous investigations using the Millennium Cohort and in other studies (22, 25, 37). In addition, the term new onset must be interpreted with caution, because disordered eating may be episodic in nature, and we were not able to capture information on disordered eating prior to baseline. Another limitation was the inability to assess purging disorders in this population because of skip rules within the survey that allowed respondents to skip questions concerning the use of inappropriate compensatory behaviors (such as vomiting or overexercising) if they denied binge-eating episodes. These disorders are potentially as severe as and common as bulimia nervosa or binge-eating disorder (51), which would have provided more power to this investigation had we been able to examine them.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Weight change was determined by using percent change calculated as the self-reported weight in pounds at follow-up minus the self-reported weight in pounds at baseline assessment, divided by baseline weight. Weightchange categories were defined as follows: extreme weight loss (>10% loss), moderate weight loss (>3% but <10% loss), stable weight (remained within 3%), moderate weight gain (>3% but <10% gain), and extreme weight gain (≥10% gain).

Table 5. Adjusted Odds of Weight Change<sup>a</sup> Among Millennium Cohort Study Men From Baseline (July 2001–June 2003) to Follow-up (July 2004–January 2006) (N = 31,988)

Ohamata tutta	Wei	extreme ght Loss <sup>a</sup> a = 482)	Wei	Moderate Weight Loss <sup>a</sup> (n = 3,452)		oderate ight Gain <sup>a</sup> = 9,838)	Extreme Weight Gain <sup>a</sup> (n = 3,050)	
Characteristic	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval
Deployment status— deployers only								
Deployed without combat exposures	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Deployed with combat exposures	0.95	0.62, 1.46	1.17	0.99, 1.38	1.04	0.93, 1.17	1.18	0.98, 1.41
Deployment status—entire study population								
Nondeployed	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Deployed without combat exposures	0.89	0.66, 1.20	0.88 <sup>b</sup>	0.78, 0.99	0.94	0.87, 1.02	0.82 <sup>b</sup>	0.72, 0.93
Deployed with combat exposures	0.88	0.64, 1.22	0.97	0.86, 1.10	0.98	0.90, 1.07	0.92	0.81, 1.05
Experienced ≥1 deployments of ≥9 months								
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	0.94	0.55, 1.61	1.14	0.93, 1.39	1.13	0.98, 1.29	1.07	0.87, 1.32
Birth year								
Before 1960	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
1960–1969	0.95	0.76, 1.20	1.01	0.92, 1.12	1.07	1.00, 1.15	1.02	0.91, 1.15
1970–1979	1.05	0.78, 1.41	1.16 <sup>b</sup>	1.03, 1.31	1.27 <sup>b</sup>	1.17, 1.39	1.63 <sup>b</sup>	1.42, 1.85
1980 and later	1.76	0.96, 3.22	1.36 <sup>b</sup>	1.02, 1.80	1.54 <sup>b</sup>	1.26, 1.87	4.22 <sup>b</sup>	3.37, 5.30
Race/ethnicity								
White, non-Hispanic	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Black, non-Hispanic	0.79	0.55, 1.12	0.98	0.86, 1.12	0.97	0.89, 1.07	0.99	0.86, 1.13
Other	0.59 <sup>b</sup>	0.43, 0.81	0.93	0.83, 1.04	1.05	0.98, 1.13	0.96	0.85, 1.08
Education <sup>c</sup>								
High school or less	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Some college	0.99	0.76, 1.29	0.85 <sup>b</sup>	0.76, 0.94	0.95	0.88, 1.02	0.93	0.83, 1.05
College degree	0.93	0.65, 1.31	0.84 <sup>b</sup>	0.73, 0.97	0.82 <sup>b</sup>	0.74, 0.91	0.64 <sup>b</sup>	0.54, 0.76
Graduate school	1.00	0.63, 1.56	0.87	0.73, 1.05	0.80 <sup>b</sup>	0.70, 0.91	0.68 <sup>b</sup>	0.53, 0.86
Marital status <sup>c</sup>								
Married	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Never married	1.12	0.86, 1.45	1.11	1.00, 1.24	1.12 <sup>b</sup>	1.05, 1.21	1.36 <sup>b</sup>	1.22, 1.52
Divorced, widowed, separated	1.12	0.74, 1.67	1.06	0.89, 1.26	1.24 <sup>b</sup>	1.10, 1.39	1.11	0.92, 1.34

Additionally, because of the large sample size, it was not feasible to complete structured clinical interviews or objective assessments of height and weight at both assessments. However, similar survey-based diagnoses of disordered eating have demonstrated good concurrent validity with interview-based diagnoses (52, 53), and studies have agreed that self-reported height and weight are reliable and valid for large epidemiologic studies (54, 55). Nonetheless, assessment methods were held constant across time. Thus, limita-

tions of self-reported data should not affect evaluation of changes over time in the development of new-onset disordered eating.

Despite these limitations, this study has strengths. Limited work on the prevalence of disordered eating in US military populations has been conducted. This study is the first to prospectively investigate the association between military deployment and disordered-eating behaviors and weight change in a large population-based cohort of women

Table 5. Continued

Oh ava akaviati	Wei	Extreme ight Loss <sup>a</sup> ı = 482)	Wei	loderate ight Loss <sup>a</sup> = 3,452)	We	oderate ight Gain <sup>a</sup> = 9,838)	Wei	extreme ight Gain <sup>a</sup> = 3,050)
Characteristic	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval
Service branch <sup>c</sup>								
Army	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Air Force	0.87	0.67, 1.13	1.17 <sup>b</sup>	1.06, 1.31	0.98	0.91, 1.05	0.99	0.88, 1.12
Navy/Coast Guard	1.16	0.91, 1.49	1.14 <sup>b</sup>	1.03, 1.27	0.96	0.89, 1.03	0.96	0.86, 1.09
Marine Corps	0.80	0.49, 1.30	0.86	0.71, 1.04	1.01	0.89, 1.14	1.15	0.97, 1.37
Service component <sup>c</sup>								
Reserve/National Guard	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Active duty	0.89	0.72, 1.09	0.96	0.88, 1.04	1.10 <sup>b</sup>	1.04, 1.17	1.21 <sup>b</sup>	1.10, 1.32
Military pay grade <sup>c</sup>								
Officer	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Enlisted	1.12	0.80, 1.55	0.99	0.86, 1.13	1.28 <sup>b</sup>	1.17, 1.41	1.81 <sup>b</sup>	1.52, 2.15
History of life stressors <sup>c,d</sup>								
Low/mild	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Moderate	1.13	0.84, 1.52	1.00	0.88, 1.14	1.11 <sup>b</sup>	1.02, 1.22	1.30 <sup>b</sup>	1.14, 1.48
Major	1.28	0.72, 2.29	1.24	0.95, 1.62	0.92	0.75, 1.14	1.29	0.97, 1.71
History of diagnosed mental disorder <sup>c</sup>								
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	1.83 <sup>b</sup>	1.34, 2.49	1.54 <sup>b</sup>	1.33, 1.79	1.40 <sup>b</sup>	1.25, 1.56	1.84 <sup>b</sup>	1.59, 2.13
Smoking status <sup>c</sup>								
Nonsmoker	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Ever/past smoker	1.41 <sup>b</sup>	1.13, 1.75	1.11 <sup>b</sup>	1.02, 1.22	0.95	0.89, 1.01	1.05	0.95, 1.16
Current smoker	1.57 <sup>b</sup>	1.22, 2.02	1.22 <sup>b</sup>	1.09, 1.35	0.97	0.90, 1.05	1.21 <sup>b</sup>	1.09, 1.35
History of alcohol misuse <sup>c,e</sup>								
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	1.38 <sup>b</sup>	1.12, 1.69	1.06	0.97, 1.16	0.95	0.89, 1.01	1.04	0.94, 1.14
Special diet for weight loss <sup>c,f</sup>								
No	1.00		1.00		1.00		1.00	
Yes	1.42 <sup>b</sup>	1.07, 1.86	1.20 <sup>b</sup>	1.06, 1.36	1.41 <sup>b</sup>	1.30, 1.53	2.04 <sup>b</sup>	1.82, 2.29

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Weight change was determined by using percent change calculated as the self-reported weight in pounds at followup minus the self-reported weight in pounds at baseline assessment, divided by baseline weight. Weight-change categories were defined as follows: extreme weight loss (>10% loss), moderate weight loss (>3% but <10% loss), stable weight (remained within 3%), moderate weight gain (>3% but <10% gain), and extreme weight gain (>10% gain). Stable weight (n = 15,166) was the reference category for the polychotomous logistic regression model.

and men. Robust investigations of these associations were allowed by the high participation rates at follow-up, the large sample of both men and women, and demographic,

occupational, and behavioral variables to adjust for possible confounding. Finally, the current study makes a significant contribution to the literature by demonstrating a prospective

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Adjusted odds of new-onset disordered eating are significant as shown by associated confidence intervals using polychotomous logistic regression.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> The model was adjusted for this characteristic at baseline.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> The Social Readjustment Rating Scale (32).

e Alcohol misuse is defined as at least 1 positive response to the CAGE questions (i.e., Cutting down, Annoyance by criticism, Guilty feeling, and Eye-openers) (33, 34).

f Positive response to whether the subject used "special diet programs for weight loss."

association between the trauma of combat exposure and the development of disordered eating in women.

In conclusion, deployment in support of the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan was not associated with new-onset disordered eating or weight change among military personnel. However, findings suggest that the trauma of combat exposure may have greater effects on eating and weight differences in women than men, since significant associations between deployment with combat exposures and disordered eating and weight loss were revealed among women only. In addition, this population, on average, gained weight over the study period. This may reflect trends similar to those in the general population, rather than an association with deployment. As this Millennium Cohort ages, it will become important that future weight change studies adjust for comorbid psychological disorders and distinguish characteristics of those in military service versus those who have transitioned to civilian life. In addition to being the first large-scale epidemiologic study to quantify the association between deployment to war and disordered eating and weight changes, this study highlights the need for continued research in the areas of dieting and weight change among military personnel related to occupational weight standards.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Author affiliations: Department of Defense Center for Deployment Health Research, Naval Health Research Center, San Diego, California (Isabel G. Jacobson, Tyler C. Smith, Besa Smith, Margaret A. K. Ryan); Department of Psychology, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida (Pamela K. Keel); Madigan Army Medical Center, Fort Lewis, Washington (Paul J. Amoroso); Air Force Research Laboratory, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio (Timothy S. Wells); US Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine, Natick, Massachusetts (Gaston P. Bathalon); and Seattle Epidemiologic Research and Information Center, Department of Veterans Affairs Puget Sound Health Care System, Seattle, Washington (Edward J. Boyko).

The Millennium Cohort Study is funded through the Military Operational Medicine Research Program of the US Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, Fort Dietrick, Maryland.

The authors thank Scott L. Seggerman and Greg D. Boyd from the Management Information Division, Defense Manpower Data Center, Seaside, California. They also thank Michelle Stoia from the Naval Health Research Center and the professionals from the US Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, especially those from the Military Operational Medicine Research Program, Fort Detrick, Maryland. They appreciate the support of the Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, Rockville, Maryland. Additionally, the authors thank the following members of the Millennium Cohort Study Team: Gina Creaven, James Davies, Lacy Farnell, Gia Gumbs, Cynthia LeardMann, Travis Leleu, Jamie McGrew, Robert Reed, Katherine Snell, Steven Spiegel, Kari Welch, James Whitmer, and Charlene Wong from the Department of Defense Center for Deployment Health Research, Naval Health Research Center, San Diego, California.

This represents report 08-03, supported by the Department of Defense, under work unit no. 60002.

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Navy, Department of the Army, Department of the Air Force, Department of Defense, or the US government. The funding organization (Military Operational Medicine Research Program) had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, analysis, or preparation of data; or preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript.

**REFERENCES** 

Conflict of interest: none declared.

- 1. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 4th ed, text revision. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association: 2000.
- 2. Carlat DJ, Camargo CA, Herzog DB. Eating disorders in males: a report on 135 patients. Am J Psychiatry. 1997;154(8):
- 3. McNulty PA. Prevalence and contributing factors of eating disorder behaviors in active duty service women in the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marines. Mil Med. 2001;166(1):53-58.
- 4. McNulty PA. Prevalence and contributing factors of eating disorder behaviors in active duty Navy men. Mil Med. 1997; 162(11):753-758.
- 5. Keel PK, Klump KL, Miller KB, et al. Shared transmission of eating disorders and anxiety disorders. Int J Eat Disord. 2005; 38(2):99-105.
- 6. Herzog DB, Franko DL, Dorer DJ, et al. Drug abuse in women with eating disorders. Int J Eat Disord. 2006;39(5):364–368.
- 7. Franko DL, Dorer DJ, Keel PK, et al. How do eating disorders and alcohol use disorder influence each other? Int J Eat Disord. 2005;38(3):200-207.
- 8. Keel PK, Mitchell JE, Miller KB, et al. Long-term outcome of bulimia nervosa. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1999;56(1):63-69.
- 9. Brewerton TD. Eating disorders, trauma, and comorbidity: focus on PTSD. Eat Disord. 2007;15(4):285-304.
- 10. Miller KK, Grinspoon SK, Ciampa J, et al. Medical findings in outpatients with anorexia nervosa. Arch Intern Med. 2005; 165(5):561-566.
- 11. Hadley SJ, Walsh BT. Gastrointestinal disturbances in anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa. Curr Drug Targets CNS Neurol Disord. 2003;2(1):1-9.
- 12. Franko DL, Keel PK. Suicidality in eating disorders: occurrence, correlates, and clinical implications. Clin Psychol Rev. 2006;26(6):769-782.
- 13. Drapeau V, Therrien F, Richard D, et al. Is visceral obesity a physiological adaptation to stress? Panminerva Med. 2003;
- 14. Adam TC, Epel ES. Stress, eating and the reward system. Physiol Behav. 2007;91(4):449-458.
- 15. Zellner DA, Loaiza S, Gonzalez Z, et al. Food selection changes under stress. Physiol Behav. 2006;87(4):789-793.
- 16. Hasler G, Lissek S, Ajdacic V, et al. Major depression predicts an increase in long-term body weight variability in young adults. Obes Res. 2005;13(11):1991-1998.
- 17. Friedman MA, Schwartz MB, Brownell KD. Differential relation of psychological functioning with the history and experience of weight cycling. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1998;66(4):646-650.
- 18. Benotsch EG, Brailey K, Vasterling JJ, et al. War zone stress, personal and environmental resources, and PTSD symptoms in

- Gulf War veterans: a longitudinal perspective. J Abnorm Psychol. 2000;109(2):205-213.
- 19. Carson MA, Paulus LA, Lasko NB, et al. Psychophysiologic assessment of posttraumatic stress disorder in Vietnam nurse veterans who witnessed injury or death. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2000;68(5):890-897.
- 20. Hobfoll SE, Spielberger CD, Breznitz S, et al. War-related stress. Addressing the stress of war and other traumatic events. Am Psychol. 1991;46(8):848-855.
- 21. Hoge CW, Auchterlonie JL, Milliken CS. Mental health problems, use of mental health services, and attrition from military service after returning from deployment to Iraq or Afghanistan. JAMA. 2006;295(9):1023-1032.
- 22. Hoge CW, Castro CA, Messer SC, et al. Combat duty in Iraq and Afghanistan, mental health problems, and barriers to care. N Engl J Med. 2004;351(1):13-22.
- 23. Fiedler N, Ozakinci G, Hallman W, et al. Military deployment to the Gulf War as a risk factor for psychiatric illness among US troops. Br J Psychiatry. 2006;188:453-459.
- 24. Black DW, Carney CP, Peloso PM, et al. Gulf War veterans with anxiety: prevalence, comorbidity, and risk factors. Epidemiology. 2004;15(2):135-142.
- 25. Smith TC, Ryan MA, Wingard DL, et al. New onset and persistent symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder self reported after deployment and combat exposures: prospective population based US military cohort study. BMJ. 2008; 336(7640):366-371.
- 26. Fryer S, Waller G, Kroese BS. Stress, coping, and disturbed eating attitudes in teenage girls. Int J Eat Disord. 1997;22(4): 427-436.
- 27. Sassaroli S, Mezzaluna C, Amurri A, et al. Stress plays a role in the association between cognitive constructs and measures of eating disorders in male subjects. Eat Weight Disord. 2005; 10(2):117-124.
- 28. Sassaroli S, Ruggiero GM. The role of stress in the association between low self-esteem, perfectionism, and worry, and eating disorders. Int J Eat Disord. 2005;37(2):135-141.
- 29. Ryan MA, Smith TC, Smith B, et al. Millennium Cohort: enrollment begins a 21-year contribution to understanding the impact of military service. J Clin Epidemiol. 2007;60(2):181–191.
- 30. Dillman DA. Mail and Telephone Surveys: The Total Design Method. New York, NY: Wiley; 1978: xvi, 325.
- 31. Hobson CJ, Kamen J, Szostek J, et al. Stressful life events: a revision and update of the Social Readjustment Rating Scale. Int J Stress Manag. 1998;5(1):1–23.
- 32. Holmes TH, Rahe RH. The Social Readjustment Rating Scale. J Psychosom Res. 1967;11(2):213–218.
- 33. Dhalla S, Kopec JA. The CAGE questionnaire for alcohol misuse: a review of reliability and validity studies. Clin Invest *Med.* 2007;30(1):33–41.
- 34. Ewing JA. Detecting alcoholism. The CAGE questionnaire. JAMA. 1984;252(14):1905-1907.
- 35. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB. Validation and utility of a self-report version of PRIME-MD: the PHQ primary care study. Primary Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders. Patient Health Questionnaire. JAMA. 1999;282(18):1737-1744.
- 36. Maldonado G, Greenland S. Simulation study of confounderselection strategies. Am J Epidemiol. 1993;138(11):923–936.
- 37. Jacobson IG, Ryan MA, Hooper TI, et al. Alcohol use and alcohol-related problems before and after military combat deployment. JAMA. 2008;300(6):663-675.

- 38. Hennessy-Fiske M. U.S. soldiers in Iraq face the battle of the bulge. The Seattle Times. July 10, 2007. Seattle, WA: The Seattle Times Company; 2007. (http://seattletimes.nwsource. com/html/nationworld/2003782265\_iraqfat10.html). (Accessed January 22, 2008).
- 39. Friedl KE, Leu JR. Body fat standards and individual physical readiness in a randomized Army sample: screening weights, methods of fat assessment, and linkage to physical fitness. Mil Med. 2002;167(12):994-1000.
- 40. Graham WF, Hourani LL, Sorenson D, et al. Demographic differences in body composition of Navy and Marine Corps personnel: findings from the perception of wellness and readiness assessment. Mil Med. 2000;165(1):60-69.
- 41. Peterson AL, Talcott GW, Kelleher WJ, et al. Bulimic weightloss behaviors in military versus civilian weight-management programs. Mil Med. 1995;160(12):616-620.
- 42. Lauder TD, Williams MV, Campbell CS, et al. Abnormal eating behaviors in military women. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 1999;31(9):1265-1271.
- 43. Riddle JR, Smith TC, Smith B, et al. Millennium Cohort: the 2001-2003 baseline prevalence of mental disorders in the U.S. military. J Clin Epidemiol. 2007;60(2):192-201.
- 44. Smith B, Leard CA, Smith TC, et al. Anthrax vaccination in the Millennium Cohort: validation and measures of health. Am J Prev Med. 2007;32(4):347-353.
- 45. Smith TC, Jacobson IG, Smith B, et al. The occupational role of women in military service: validation of occupation and prevalence of exposures in the Millennium Cohort Study. Int J Environ Health Res. 2007;17(4):271-284.
- 46. Smith TC, Smith B, Jacobson IG, et al. Reliability of standard health assessment instruments in a large, population-based cohort study. Ann Epidemiol. 2007;17(7):525-532.
- 47. LeardMann CA, Smith B, Smith TC, et al. Smallpox vaccination: comparison of self-reported and electronic vaccine records in the Millennium Cohort Study. Hum Vaccin. 2007; 3(6):245-251.
- 48. Smith B, Smith TC, Gray GC, et al. When epidemiology meets the Internet: Web-based surveys in the Millennium Cohort Study. Am J Epidemiol. 2007;166(11):1345-1354.
- 49. Smith B, Wingard DL, Ryan MA, et al. U.S. military deployment during 2001-2006: comparison of subjective and objective data sources in a large prospective health study. Ann Epidemiol. 2007;17(12):976-982.
- 50. Wells TS, Jacobson IG, Smith TC, et al. Prior health care utilization as a potential determinant of enrollment in a 21-year prospective study, the Millennium Cohort Study. Eur J Epidemiol. 2008;23(2):79-87.
- 51. Keel PK. Purging disorder: subthreshold variant or full-threshold eating disorder? Int J Eat Disord. 2007;40(suppl):S89–S94.
- 52. Keel PK, Crow S, Davis TL, et al. Assessment of eating disorders: comparison of interview and questionnaire data from a long-term follow-up study of bulimia nervosa. J Psychosom Res. 2002;53(5):1043-1047.
- 53. Black CM, Wilson GT. Assessment of eating disorders: interview versus questionnaire. Int J Eat Disord. 1996;20(1):
- 54. Spencer EA, Appleby PN, Davey GK, et al. Validity of selfreported height and weight in 4808 EPIC-Oxford participants. Public Health Nutr. 2002;5(4):561-565.
- 55. Stunkard AJ, Albaum JM. The accuracy of self-reported weights. Am J Clin Nutr. 1981;34(8):1593-1599.

### REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB Control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. Report Date (DD MM YY) 2. Report Type 3. DATES COVERED (from - to) 20-12-07 New Jan 2007 - Jan 2008 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 5a. Contract Number: Disordered Eating and Weight Changes After Deployment: Longitudinal 5b. Grant Number: Assessment of a Large US Military Cohort 5c. Program Element: 5d. Project Number: 5e. Task Number: Isabel G. Jacobson, MPH; Tyler C. Smith, MS, PhD; Besa Smith, MPH, PhD; 5f. Work Unit Number: 60002 Pamela K. Keel, PhD; Paul J. Amoroso, MD, MPH; Timothy S. Wells, DVM, MPH, PhD; Gaston P. Bathalon, PhD, RD; Edward J. Boyko, MD, MPH; Margaret AK Ryan, MD, MPH; for the Millennium Cohort Study Team 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Commanding Officer Naval Health Research Center 140 Sylvester Road 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT San Diego, CA 92106-3521 **NUMBER** Report No. 08-03 8. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAMES(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) **Commanding Officer** Commander **Naval Medical Research Center Navy Medicine Support Command** 10. Sponsor/Monitor's Acronyms(s) **503 Robert Grant Ave** P.O. Box 140 NMRC/NMSC Silver Spring, MD 20910-7500 Jacksonville, FL 32213-0140 11. Sponsor/Monitor's Report Number(s)

#### 12 DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

#### 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

Published in: American Journal of Epidemiology, 2009, 169(4), 415-427

#### 14. ABSTRACT (maximum 200 words)

**Background:** Concerns have been raised about the long-term health impacts of recent military deployments to combat environments. Whether such deployments and combat exposure affect frequency of disordered eating behaviors and weight changes is not known.

**Results:** Overall deployment was not significantly related with new-onset disordered eating in women or men, after adjustment for baseline demographic, military, and behavioral characteristics. However, among deployed women, those reporting combat exposures were 1.78 times more likely to develop new-onset disordered eating and 2.35 times more likely to lose an extreme amount of weight (10% or more of their body weight) compared with women who deployed but had no combat exposures.

**Conclusions:** Despite no significant overall association between deployment and disordered eating and extreme weight changes, women who deploy with combat exposures represent a special subgroup at significantly higher risk for developing eating problems and weight loss.

14. SUBJECT TERMS eating disorders, weight gain, weight loss, military personnel, military medicine 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF: 17. LIMITATION 18. NUMBER 18a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON OF ABSTRACT OF PAGES Commanding Officer a. REPORT b.ABSTRACT b. THIS PAGE UNCL 13 UNCL UNCL UNCL 18b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (INCLUDING AREA CODE) COMM/DSN: (619) 553-8429